RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Biocompatible carbonized iodine-doped dots for contrast-enhanced CT imaging



Yohan Jeong^{1,2,3†}, Minyoung Jin^{1,3†}, Kyoung Sub Kim¹ and Kun Na^{1,3*}

Abstract

Background: Computed tomography (CT) imaging has been widely used for the diagnosis and surveillance of diseases. Although CT is attracting attention due to its reasonable price, short scan time, and excellent diagnostic ability, there are severe drawbacks of conventional CT contrast agents, such as low sensitivity, serious toxicity, and complicated synthesis process. Herein, we describe iodine-doped carbon dots (IDC) for enhancing the abilities of CT contrast agents.

Method: IDC was synthesized by one-pot hydrothermal synthesis for 4 h at 180 °C and analysis of its structure and size distribution with UV–Vis, XPS, FT-IR, NMR, TEM, and DLS. Furthermore, the CT values of IDC were calculated and compared with those of conventional CT contrast agents (lohexol), and the in vitro and in vivo toxicities of IDC were determined to prove their safety.

Results: IDC showed improved CT contrast enhancement compared to iohexol. The biocompatibility of the IDC was verified via cytotoxicity tests, hemolysis assays, chemical analysis, and histological analysis. The osmotic pressure of IDC was lower than that of iohexol, resulting in no dilution-induced contrast decrease in plasma.

Conclusion: Based on these results, the remarkable CT contrast enhancement and biocompatibility of IDC can be used as an effective CT contrast agent for the diagnosis of various diseases compared with conventional CT contrast agents.

Keywords: Iodine-doped dots, CT imaging, Carbon dot, One-pot synthesis, CT contrast agent

Background

Computed tomography (CT) has been used for diagnosing diseases and visualizing fractures based on X-ray attenuation differences in tissues [1]. CT imaging technology has the advantage of being able to inexpensively, quickly, and easily detect and diagnose various diseases with high resolution [2–4]. X-rays are highly absorbed by atoms containing high K-edge energy; however, it is difficult to diagnose diseases of soft tissues except for bone,

[†]Yohan Jeong and Minyoung Jin contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: kna6997@catholic.ac.kr

¹ Department of Biotechnology, The Catholic University of Korea, 43 Jibong-ro, Wonmi-gu, Bucheon-si, Gyeonggi do 14662, Republic of Korea Full list of author information is available at the end of the article which is generally rich in iodine and calcium, resulting insufficient contrast intensity for CT imaging [5, 6]. Therefore, studies on various types of iodine-based CT contrast agents have been conducted to aid in the diagnosis of diseases in soft tissues [7, 8]. Intravenously injected mono-molecular iodine moves along with the cardiovascular system, brightens the blood vessels, and is then eliminated through the kidneys and bladder [9]. However, CT contrast agents are known to cause severe pain in patients when injected, and if left for a long time, cause toxicity-related problems such as liver enzymes, lactate dehydrogenase elevations, and normal tissue necrosis [10]. In particular, in the case of CT contrast agents, administration can lead to nephropathy and adverse cardiac events in the clinical fields. For example, patients with iodine-based contrast agent injection showed an



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

increased risk of worsened renal impairment and a significant effect on the development of post-CT acute kidney injury [11–13]. In addition, some CT contrast agents induce adverse cardiac events, such as cardiac death and heart failure, within one month after CT contrast agent administration [14, 15]. To overcome these limitations, studies on contrast agents with high K-edge energy, such as polymers, gold nanoparticles, gadolinium, and bismuth, are being conducted; however, these contrast agents are also not free from low solubility and safety problems [16–21]. Additionally, the synthesis process of these contrast agents relies on complex organic synthesis using hazardous solvents and catalysts [22, 23]. Thus, it is necessary to develop a CT contrast agent that can be prepared by a simple and safe method and has high K-edge energy, high solubility, and minimal toxicity.

In this study, we describe iodine-doped carbon dots (IDCs) that can provide increased CT contrast images and decreased toxicity compared with conventional CT contrast agent, iohexol. Carbon dots (CDs) can be manufactured through a facile one-pot process of simply mixing raw materials and exposing them to high temperatures for a short time without any catalyst or hazardous solvents [24]. CD has the advantages of good biocompatibility and high water solubility, making it suitable as a CT contrast agent [25, 26]. The structural analysis of the synthesized IDC was verified through UV-Vis, XPS, FT-IR, and NMR spectra. The IDC increases the number of iodine atoms per molecule, resulting in a high contrast effect compared to single-molecule iodine, which is iohexol [27]. IDCs showed reduced cytotoxicity at the same concentration of iohexol in human kidney cells. In addition, to confirm the minimized adverse effects of iodine-based CT contrast agents, the toxicity of IDC was evaluated by osmolarity, hemolysis, biochemical, and histological analysis. In particular, the increased CT contrast efficacy of IDC was confirmed with a micro-CT scanner in an animal model. Based on the results of this study, IDC, which shows biocompatible and effective CT images, is expected to be an effective and safe diagnostic nanomaterial with a simple manufacturing process.

Methods

Materials

Lactobionic acid (LA), iohexol, iodide standard solution, dimethyl sulfoxide anhydrous (DMSO), and 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), fetal bovine serum (FBS), Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS), and 1% antibiotics (streptomycin/ penicillin) were purchased from Gibco BRL (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA). Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) was obtained from HyClone (Losan, UT, USA). DW obtained from a Milli-Q water purification system (Bedford, MA, USA) was used. The dialysis membranes (molecular weight cut off, MWCO: 100– 500 Da) were purchased from Spectrum Laboratories Inc. (Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA).

Synthesis of IDC

Five hundred milligrams of LA and iohexol were dissolved in distilled water (15 mL) and reacted in a 50 mL Teflon-lined hydrothermal chamber to synthesize the IDC. The reaction proceeded at 180 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h, and the obtained product was cooled at room temperature (RT). The reaction solution was dialyzed against DW for 3 days using a dialysis membrane (MWCO: 100–500 Da) and lyophilized for further use.

Characterization of IDC

The size distribution and zeta potential of IDC were analyzed using dynamic light scattering (Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern Instruments Ltd., UK) at room temperature. The images of the IDC were confirmed with a transmission electron microscope (TEM; JEM-2100, JEOL Ltd, Japan) at 200 kV acceleration voltages. The IDC solutions were dropped onto a copper grid-coated carbon film. To measure the UV-Vis absorption spectra, 0.1 mg/mL of IDC, iohexol, and LA were dissolved in DMSO. Then, UV absorbance was measured with UV-Visible spectrometer (UV-2350, Shimadzu, Japan). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted using an electron spectroscope (AXIS Supra, Kratos, UK). To analyze the chemical structures of LA, iohexol and IDC, 1H NMR and 13C NMR and Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer (Tensor 27, Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany) were used. 1H NMR and 13C NMR were recorded with 300 MHz NMR spectrometer (Avance III, Bruker, Germany).

Evaluation of the elemental composition of IDC and iohexol

Elemental analysis of IDC and iohexol were conducted with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX S-10, Oxford instrument, Abingdon, UK) at 15 kV accelerating voltage. Additionally, the iodine contents in the IDC and iohexol were calculated based on the iodide standard solution using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP–AES, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany).

Evaluation of X-ray attenuation properties of IDC and iohexol

X-ray attenuation properties of the IDC were analyzed with HU values of CT images by micro-CT scanner

(Quantum GX, Perkin Elmer, MA, USA) at the Daegu Gyeongbuk Institute of Science & Technology (DGIST). The IDC and iohexol solutions were diluted from 0 to 100 mg I/mL with PBS. The HU value of CT images was determined compared with HU values of air (-1000) and water (0).

Cytotoxicity studies

Madin-Darby Canine Kidney cells (MDCK, KCLB No. 10034) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium high (DMEM, HyClone) with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotics-antimycotics. All cells were cultured in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator at 37 °C. The cytotoxicity of IDC and iohexol was evaluated in MDCK cells. MDCK cells (3×10^4 cells per well) were seeded onto 48-well plates and incubated overnight. Various concentrations of IDC and iohexol were added to each well. At 4 h post-treatment, cells were washed twice with DPBS and replaced to complementary medium in each well. After 24 h of incubation, cell viability was evaluated via MTT assay. The absorbance intensity of the solution was measured using microplate reader (Bio-Tek, VT, USA) at 570 nm.

Biocompatibility test

The osmolality of the IDC and iohexol was measured using Osmomat 030 cryoscopic osmometer (Gonotec, Berlin, Germany) in Korea National University of Transportation, Chungju Center. IDC and iohexol were dissolved in DW at a concentration of 300 mg of iodine. The total osmolality of IDC and iohexol solutions (50 μ L) were analyzed in triplicate compared with the freezing point of pure water.

Hemolysis assays were conducted with slight modifications compared with the our previous paper [28]. In brief, fresh mouse blood were centrifuged for 5 min at 3000 rpm to remove the supernatant after collected in EDTA tubes. The blood samples were then washed three times with PBS to obtain mouse red blood cells (MRBCs). The MRBCs were diluted with 0.9% NaCl solution to the 2% (v/v) concentration. The diluted 0.1 mL of MRBC suspension was transferred into 1 mL tubes with 0.9 mL of 0.9% NaCl solution with various concentrations (1-100 mg I/mL) of IDC and iohexol. The mixtures were shaken gently, incubated for 4 h, and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. After optical images of the samples were obtained, the absorbance of the supernatant liquids (hemoglobin) was measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Hemolysis percentages were calculated based on differences in absorbance between the positive (DW) and negative (0.9% NaCl) controls at 540 nm. The degree of hemolysis was calculated as follows:

$$Hemolysispecentage(\%) = \frac{OD_{Positive} - OD_{Negative}}{OD_{Positive} - OD_{Negative}} X100$$
(1)

In vivo imaging

All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the Catholic University of Korea and Daegu Gyeongbuk Institute of Science and Technology in accordance with the "Principles of Laboratory Animal Care," NIH publication no. 85-23, revised in 1985. Sprague Dawley (SD) male rats (Orient Bio, Inc., Republic of Korea) were used under 22 ± 2 °C and 60% relative humidity. 8-week-old male SD rats were fasted for 18 h before CT scans. The CT measurement were set as following conditions: X-ray voltage = 80 kV, anode current = 100 μ A, whole-body scan (8 s × 3), field of view (FOV) = 72 mm, and voxel size = 288 μ m. All rat was anesthetized before each CT scan. IDC and iohexol (300 mg I/rats) were injected intravenously into SD rats. Each CT image was obtained pre- (before injection), 1 (post-injection), 10, 20, and 30 min after injection.

In vivo toxicity

To evaluate the toxicity of IDC, 30 mg I/mice of iohexol and IDC were injected intravenously into 5-week-old male BALB/c mice. At 24 h after the injection, the major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney) were resected and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution. Major organs were embedded in paraffin, sectioned by a microtome, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and each sample was captured by a slide scanner (Aperio CS2, Leica, Germany). To assess the acute toxicity of IDC, the levels of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine (CRE) were measured after serum was isolated from the blood collected 24 h after the injection.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD for all the groups. Differences between the values were conducted using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test to the control for PBS and blood plasma. Significance being indicated by *p* values of **P*<0.01 and ***P*<0.001. All samples were tested in triplicate.

Results

Synthesis and characterization of IDC

IDC was synthesized by a one-pot hydrothermal synthesis with LA and iohexol. Both LA and iohexol were dissolved in DW and reacted in a Teflon-lined hydrothermal chamber at 180 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h. After the reaction, the reactant was purified by dialysis against DW and

lyophilized. The synthesized IDC was confirmed by UV– Vis spectra (Fig. 1a). The UV spectrum of IDC showed an iohexol peak shift from 280 to 226 nm and a broad energy peak from 250 to 500 nm. The peak attributed to the aromatic sp² domains (π – π * transition) was shown at 226 nm, and the peak attributed to the n– π * excitation



was depicted from 250 to 500 nm. To further clarify the chemical structure of IDC, we conducted XPS, FTIR, and NMR analyses. The XPS spectrum of IDC exhibits four main peaks (284.6, 398.9, 532.5, and 620.0 eV) which are assigned to C 1 s, N 1 s, O 1 s, and I 3d, respectively (Fig. 1b). The C 1 s XPS spectrum could be deconvoluted into three peaks at 284.6 eV, 285.7 eV, and 287.9 eV, which contributed to C-C/C-H, C-O/C-N/C=O, and C = O, respectively. (Fig. 1c). The XPS spectrum of O 1 s exhibited two peaks, C=O at 531.1 eV and C-O-C/C-OH at 532.5 eV (Fig. 1d). The XPS spectra of N 1 s showed two peaks for both pyridinic N (398.9 eV) and pyrrolic N (401.4 eV) (Fig. 1e). Two peaks at 620.0 eV and 631.9 eV for $3d_{3/2}$ and I $3d_{5/2}$, respectively, are shown in Fig. 1f. In the FTIR spectra, various functional groups of IDC were observed: broad OH peak $(3400-3100 \text{ cm}^{-1})$, bending of the C=O double bond (1744 cm⁻¹), N–H bending (1637 cm^{-1}), N–H stretching (1539 cm^{-1}), C-O stretching (1249 cm⁻¹), primary alcohol (1037 cm⁻¹), and C-H bending (891 cm⁻¹) (Fig. 1g). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra also simultaneously showed LA and iohexol peaks in IDC (Figures S1 and S2). The IDC has an average size of 4 nm and a weak anionic surface charge of -1.9 mV due to the presence of hydroxyl groups at the surface of IDC (Fig. 2a). The spherical IDC shape and the size of 2-6 nm were confirmed by TEM (Fig. 2b). The iodine content in the IDC was evaluated by SEM-EDX and ICP-AES. Based on EDX analysis, the iodine content in the IDC was determined to be 42.14% (Fig. 2c). ICP-AES analysis data showed that the IDC contained 41.62% iodine, which is consistent with the EDX analysis data.

X-ray attenuation properties of IDC

To evaluate the X-ray attenuation intensity of the IDC, the CT image and Hounsfield unit (HU) were measured using a micro-CT scanner at various iodine concentrations (0–100 mg l/mL). As shown in Fig. 2d, the IDC exhibited a more prominent positive contrast in the CT image than iohexol with the same iodine concentration. The HU values increased linearly depending on the iodin concentration. IDC has a 28% increased HU value compared to iohexol due to the high iodine atom count per IDC (Fig. 2e).

In vitro toxicity of IDC

Since several CT contrast agents can trigger adverse effects in the kidney, liver, and other major organs [29], the cytotoxicity, osmolarity, and hemolysis of IDC were evaluated and compared to those of iohexol. The cytotoxicity of iohexol and IDC was evaluated in MDCK (dog kidney epithelial) cells. Iohexol is cytotoxic at concentrations above 5 mg/mL, whereas IDC is cytotoxic at concentrations above 10 mg/mL. (Fig. 3a). To demonstrate

the osmolality of the IDC, the total osmolality of IDC and iohexol solution (300 mg I/mL, 50 μ L) was determined in triplicate. The osmotic pressure of iohexol was 2.8 times higher than that of empty plasma, while the osmotic pressure of IDC was 1.5 times higher than that of empty plasma (Fig. 3b). Blood compatibility of IDC was evaluated by a hemolysis assay with the upper limit of the hemolysis index, 5% to clarify the possibility of adverse effects. Even though IDC showed the brown color depending on the IDC's concentration, hemolytic activity was not observed in either IDC or iohexol at concentrations up to 100 mg I/mL (Fig. 3c).

In vivo CT imaging

The purpose of synthesizing IDC in this study was to enhance CT contrast enhancement on CT images. IDC and iohexol (300 mg I/rat) were intravenously injected into the tail vein of the SD rats, and CT images were measured over time with a micro-CT scanner. Most contrasting effects were predominantly in the kidney and bladder postinjection of iohexol and IDC (Fig. 4a and Figure S3). The HU value of the kidney in IDC-injected rats increased by approximately 42% compared with the HU value of the kidney in iohexol-injected rats (Fig. 4b).

In vivo toxicity

To evaluate the in vivo toxicity of IDC, 30 mg I/mice IDC and iohexol were injected intravenously into 5-week-old male Balb/c mice. At 24 h postinjection, blood and major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney) were harvested and analyzed for in vivo toxicity through serum biochemical assays and histological analysis. Serum was isolated from blood obtained from mice treated with iohexol and IDC, and the levels of AST, ALT, CRE, and BUN, which are indicators of renal and hepatic function, were compared with those of the saline-treated group. Negligible differences were measured in liver and kidney health marker levels in the IDC-treated group compared to the saline and iohexol groups (Figs. 5a-d). The harvested major organs were stained by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). The histological evaluation with H&E staining on major organs showed no obvious pathological changes in major organs (Fig. 5e).

Discussion

Despite the advantages of relatively inexpensive and fast diagnosis, CT imaging technology has limitations in its application to the diagnosis of diseases of tissues and organs because the K-edge energy is not sufficient for tissues or organs other than the skeleton [5, 6, 30]. Although various types of CT contrast agents are being studied for tissue and organ disease diagnosis through CT imaging technology, high doses of contrast agents







need to be administered to obtain sufficient contrast images, which may cause adverse effects [5]. In this study, IDC was synthesized by a one-pot hydrothermal synthesis method without any organic solvent or catalyst to provide improved CT images while minimizing toxicity. IDC was prepared using iohexol and latobionic acid. Iodinebased iohexol (trade name Omnipaque) was selected to manufacture IDC, as one of the most widely used CT contrast agents in clinical practice [31, 32].

IDC confirmed that both the iohexol and LA chemical structures were well maintained and that a new bond (C=O) could also occur through UV–Vis, XPS, FT-IR, and NMR analysis. The synthesized IDC consists of neutral ion spherical nanoparticles with a size of 4 nm containing 42% iodine. IDC provides 28% increased CT contrast enhancement compared to iohexol at the same iodine concentration due to the increased number of iodine atoms per molecule of IDC compared to iohexol. These results indicate that IDC can achieve similar or better CT-contrast effects even at lower doses than iohexol.

IDC exhibited less cytotoxicity than iohexol in canine kidney (MDCK) cells at the same 10 mg/mL iodine concentration. The low osmolality of IDC compared to iohexol can be expected to have a low toxicity probability and high contrast effect since hyperosmotic contrast agents have reduced contrast efficiency due to osmotic dilution [33]. In addition, some conventional contrast



Fig. 5 In vivo toxicity in Balb/c mice at 24 h post intravenous injection. **a** Biochemical assay data of mice. Aspartate aminotransferase (AS1), alarine aminotransferase (ALT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine (CRE). **b** H&E stained images of harvested major organs including heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney from different groups. Black scale bar is 100 μm

agents that have high osmotic pressure cause renal toxicity, pulmonary hypertension, vasodilatation, bradycardia, and even osmotic dilution of contrast [34, 35]. Additionally, IDC exhibits a hemolysis effect that is not significantly different from that of iohexol. These results demonstrate that IDC has a superior CT contrast effect compared to iohexol, as well as reduced cytotoxicity and osmolarity, demonstrating the potential of IDC as a safe and efficient CT contrast agent.

The applicability of IDC as a CT contrast agent was evaluated in the Balb/c mouse model. When IDC was injected intravenously, IDC provided a 42% increase in CT contrast enhancement compared with iohexol in the kidney. According to the principle that foreign substances are eliminated through renal metabolism in the kidney, ICD may accumulate in the kidneys more slowly than iohexol [36]. However, ICD provided a higher contrast enhancement in the kidney than iohexol at the same time postinjection. Although further studies are needed to elucidate the clear circulatory pathway and mechanism of IDC, the increased contrasting effect of ICD in the kidney indicates that the contrast-improving efficacy of IDC can be applied in vitro as well as in vivo.

The biosafety of IDC was proven by biochemical assays and H&E staining images. Since the each enzyme concentration of IDC, saline and iohexol-injected groups all samples were included in the normal ranges [37], IDC could be used for CT contrast agents with superior CT contrast value and biosafety in the field of clinical applications. The histological evaluation with H&E staining on major organs showed no obvious pathological changes in major organs, which indicates their good biocompatibility as a CT contrast agent.

Conclusions

In this study, an enhanced CT contrast agent was demonstrated via a one-pot hydrothermal reaction with LA and iohexol. IDC was synthesized with a one-pot hydrothermal reaction without any catalyst or organic solvents compared to the complicated synthesis processes of conventional CT contrast agents. UV-Vis spectra of IDC and XPS spectrum showed that IDC is composed of each component and well fabricated as carbon dots. Additionally, the shape of IDC was confirmed as a dot-shaped nanoparticle via TEM images. SEM-EDX and ICP-AES analysis data showed IDC contained high enough iodine contents for CT imaging. In addition, the HU values of the IDC are much higher than conventional CT contrast agent, iohexol, due to the increased iodine atom count per molecule. Furthermore, the HU value of the kidney in IDC injected group was increased compared with the iohexol injected group which is consistent with the in vitro CT imaging. In addition, IDC showed high biocompatibility without blood toxicity, cytotoxicity, or in vivo toxicity. Since IDC provides enhanced CT contrast images without any toxicity, it can be used with a small amount for maximum effects. Thus, IDC will be able to expand the usable range of CT images because IDC has proven its potential for clinical use for safety diagnosis with CT scanners.

Abbreviations

IDC: lodine-doped carbon dots; CT: Computed tomography; DW: Deionized water; H: Hours; HU: Hounsfield unit; DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide anhydrous; MTT: 3-(4,5-Dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide; PBS:

Phosphate-buffered saline; DPBS: Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline; FBS: Fetal bovine serum; DMEM: Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; FTIR: Fourier transform infrared; EDX: Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy; ICP–AES: Inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy; TEM: Transmission electron microscope; OD: Optical density; SD rat: Sprague Dawley rat; MRBC: Mouse red blood cell; FOV: Field of view; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; CRE: Creatinine; H&E: Hematoxylin and eosin.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40824-022-00277-3.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectra of LA, iohexol, and IDC. Figure S2. ¹³C NMR spectra of IDC in DMSO-d6. Figure S3. Time-course in vivo CT 3D imaging of a) iohexol and b) IDC [X-ray voltage = 80 kV, anode current = 100 μ A, whole-body scan (8 s x 3), FOV = 72 mm, and voxel size = 288 μ m]; 300 mg I/mL.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Y. Jeong contributed to the writing of the original draft, investigation, formal analysis, conceptualization, and methodology. M. Jin contributed to the writing of the original draft, investigation, formal analysis, and methodology. K.S. Kim contributed to the review and editing of the original draft, formal analysis, and validation. K. Na contributed to the supervision, review and editing of the original draft, funding acquisition, and project administration. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea grant (NRF-2022R1A2B5B03001432) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT) and research funds from the Catholic University of Korea (Research Fund 2021).

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Biotechnology, The Catholic University of Korea, 43 Jibong-ro, Wonmi-gu, Bucheon-si, Gyeonggi do 14662, Republic of Korea. ²Department of Research and Developmnet, SML Genetree, Seoul 06741, Republic of Korea. ³Department of BioMedical-Chemical Engineering, The Catholic University of Korea, 43 Jibong-ro, Wonmi-gu, Bucheon-si, Gyeonggi do 14662, Republic of Korea.

Received: 20 April 2022 Accepted: 13 June 2022 Published online: 25 June 2022

References

 Cengiz IF, Oliveira JM, Reis RL. Micro-CT–a digital 3D microstructural voyage into scaffolds: a systematic review of the reported methods and results. Biomater Res. 2018;22(1):1–11.

- Mollet NR, Cademartiri F, van Mieghem CA, Runza G, McFadden EP, Baks T, et al. High-resolution spiral computed tomography coronary angiography in patients referred for diagnostic conventional coronary angiography. Circulation. 2005;112(15):2318–23.
- 3. Schaefer-Prokop C, Prokop M. New imaging techniques in the treatment guidelines for lung cancer. Eur Respir J. 2002;19(35 suppl):71s–83s.
- Jeong Y, Hwang HS, Na K. Theranostics and contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging. Biomater Res. 2018;22(1):1–13.
- Lusic H, Grinstaff MW. X-ray-computed tomography contrast agents. Chem Rev. 2013;113(3):1641–66.
- Shilo M, Reuveni T, Motiei M, Popovtzer R. Nanoparticles as computed tomography contrast agents: current status and future perspectives. Nanomedicine. 2012;7(2):257–69.
- Le Kim TH, Jun H, Kim JH, Park K, Kim JS, Nam YS. Lipiodol nanoemulsions stabilized with polyglycerol-polycaprolactone block copolymers for theranostic applications. Biomater Res. 2017;21(1):1–10.
- Ghaghada KB, Badea CT, Karumbaiah L, Fettig N, Bellamkonda RV, Johnson G, et al. Evaluation of tumor microenvironment in an animal model using a nanoparticle contrast agent in computed tomography imaging. Acad Radiol. 2011;18(1):20–30.
- Jost G, Pietsch H, Lengsfeld P, Hütter J, Sieber MA. The impact of the viscosity and osmolality of iodine contrast agents on renal elimination. Invest Radiol. 2010;45(5):255–61.
- Idée J-M, Guiu B. Use of Lipiodol as a drug-delivery system for transcatheter arterial chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma: a review. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2013;88(3):530–49.
- Kistner A, Tamm C, Svensson AM, Beckman MO, Strand F, Sköld M, et al. Negative effects of iodine-based contrast agent on renal function in patients with moderate reduced renal function hospitalized for COVID-19. BMC Nephrol. 2021;22(1):1–10.
- Davenport MS, Khalatbari S, Cohan RH, Dillman JR, Myles JD, Ellis JH. Contrast material-induced nephrotoxicity and intravenous low-osmolality iodinated contrast material: risk stratification by using estimated glomerular filtration rate. Radiology. 2013;268(3):719–28.
- Faucon A-L, Bobrie G, Clément O. Nephrotoxicity of iodinated contrast media: From pathophysiology to prevention strategies. Eur J Radiol. 2019;116:231–41.
- Fu J-J, Guo J-J, Qin A-P, Yu X-Y, Zhang Q, Lei X-P, et al. Bismuth chelate as a contrast agent for X-ray computed tomography. J Nanobiotechnology. 2020;18(1):1–10.
- 15. Bolognese L, Falsini G, Schwenke C, Grotti S, Limbruno U, Liistro F, et al. Impact of iso-osmolar versus low-osmolar contrast agents on contrastinduced nephropathy and tissue reperfusion in unselected patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (from the Contrast Media and Nephrotoxicity Following Primary Angioplasty for Acute Myocardial Infarction [CONTRAST-AMI] Trial). Am J Cardiol. 2012;109(1):67–74.
- Xi D, Dong S, Meng X, Lu Q, Meng L, Ye J. Gold nanoparticles as computerized tomography (CT) contrast agents. RSC Adv. 2012;2(33):12515–24.
- Ghann WE, Aras O, Fleiter T, Daniel M-C. Syntheses and characterization of lisinopril-coated gold nanoparticles as highly stable targeted CT contrast agents in cardiovascular diseases. Langmuir. 2012;28(28):10398–408.
- Gierada DS, Bae KT. Gadolinium as a CT contrast agent: assessment in a porcine model. Radiology. 1999;210(3):829–34.
- Robison L, Zhang L, Drout RJ, Li P, Haney CR, Brikha A, et al. A bismuth metal–organic framework as a contrast agent for X-ray computed tomography. ACS Appl Bio Mater. 2019;2(3):1197–203.
- Lee N, Choi SH, Hyeon T. Nano-sized CT contrast agents. Adv Mater. 2013;25(19):2641–60.
- 21. Tepel M, Aspelin P, Lameire N. Contrast-induced nephropathy: a clinical and evidence-based approach. Circulation. 2006;113(14):1799–806.
- Zhao HY, Liu S, He J, Pan CC, Li H, Zhou ZY, et al. Synthesis and application of strawberry-like Fe3O4-Au nanoparticles as CT-MR dual-modality contrast agents in accurate detection of the progressive liver disease. Biomaterials. 2015;51:194–207.
- Cheheltani R, Ezzibdeh RM, Chhour P, Pulaparthi K, Kim J, Jurcova M, et al. Tunable, biodegradable gold nanoparticles as contrast agents for computed tomography and photoacoustic imaging. Biomaterials. 2016;102:87–97.
- 24. Srivastava I, Khamo JS, Pandit S, Fathi P, Huang X, Cao A, et al. Influence of electron acceptor and electron donor on the photophysical properties

of carbon dots: a comparative investigation at the bulk-state and singleparticle level. Adv Func Mater. 2019;29(37):1902466.

- 25. Yang S-T, Wang X, Wang H, Lu F, Luo PG, Cao L, et al. Carbon dots as nontoxic and high-performance fluorescence imaging agents. J Phys Chem C. 2009;113(42):18110–4.
- Dias C, Vasimalai N, Sárria MP, Pinheiro I, Vilas-Boas V, Peixoto J, et al. Biocompatibility and bioimaging potential of fruit-based carbon dots. Nanomaterials. 2019;9(2):199.
- 27. Jeong Y, Shin H, Na K. Facile Hydrothermal Synthesis of an Iodine-Doped Computed Tomography Contrast Agent Using Insoluble Triiodobenzene. ACS Biomater Sci Eng. 2020;6(12):6961–70.
- Shin H, Na K. In situ vaccination with biocompatibility controllable immuno-sensitizer inducing antitumor immunity. Biomaterials. 2019;197:32–40.
- Hasebroock KM, Serkova NJ. Toxicity of MRI and CT contrast agents. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2009;5(4):403–16.
- Su Y, Liu S, Guan Y, Xie Z, Zheng M, Jing X. Renal clearable Hafniumdoped carbon dots for CT/Fluorescence imaging of orthotopic liver cancer. Biomaterials. 2020;255:120110.
- Koç MM, Aslan N, Kao AP, Barber AH. Evaluation of X-ray tomography contrast agents: A review of production, protocols, and biological applications. Microsc Res Tech. 2019;82(6):812–48.
- 32. Almen T. Experimental investigations with iohexol and their clinical relevance. Acta Radiol Suppl. 1983;366:9–19.
- Kishimoto M, Yamada K, Watanabe A, Miyamoto K, Iwasaki T, Miyake Y-I. Comparison of excretory urographic contrast effects of dimeric and monomeric non-ionic iodinated contrast media in dogs. J Vet Med Sci. 2007;69(7):713–5.
- Sendeski MM. Pathophysiology of renal tissue damage by iodinated contrast media. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2011;38(5):292–9.
- Pasternak JJ, Williamson EE. Clinical pharmacology, uses, and adverse reactions of iodinated contrast agents: a primer for the non-radiologist. Mayo Clin Proc. 2012;87(4):390–402 (Elsevier).
- 36. Tian B, Liu S, Feng L, Liu S, Gai S, Dai Y, et al. Renal-Clearable Nickel-Doped Carbon Dots with Boosted Photothermal Conversion Efficiency for Multimodal Imaging-Guided Cancer Therapy in the Second Near-Infrared Biowindow. Adv Func Mater. 2021;31(26):2100549.
- River C. BALB/C mouse hematology. North American colonies. Cambridge: Charles River Laboratories International; 2021.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

